

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alcassedan, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/586,541	07/19/2006	Yuzo Senda	Q95983	4649
23373 7550 09/03/2009 SUGHRUE MION, PLLC			EXAM	MINER
2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W.			ALPHONSE, FRITZ	
SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20037			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2112	•
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/03/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary

Application No. Applicant(s) SENDA, YUZO 10/586,541 Art Unit Examiner FRITZ ALPHONSE 2112

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

	(1) <u>FRITZ ALPHONSE</u> .	(3) <u>Eberieser momas</u> .				
	(2) <u>Siddharth Narayan</u> .	(4)				
	Date of Interview: August 19, 2009.					
	Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant	2) applicant's representative]				
	Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d)☐ Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.				
	Claim(s) discussed: <u>1,33,34 and 36-38</u> .					
	Identification of prior art discussed: None.					
	Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached.	g)⊠ was not reached. h)□ N/A.				
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's Representative discussed the objections to claims 1-11 and 39-42, the rejection of claims 1-11 and 39-42 under 112 second paragraph, the rejection of claims 33 and 34 under 112, second paragraph, and the rejection of claims 36-38 under 101. Applicant's Representative discussed also proposed amendment. The Examiner would consider the proposed amendment once filed; and a new Office Action would be mailed in due course.						
	(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)					
	THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAY'S FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.					

/Fritz Alphonse/ Examiner, Art Unit 2112 U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-413 (Rev. 04-03)